Abstract. There is an increasing proportion of individuals aged 70 years and older, as well as an increasing life expectancy worldwide. The present study may guide the management of older patients with elevated prostate specific antigen (PSA). The medical records of 241 older men aged >70 years who underwent multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging (mpMRI) before prostate biopsy (PBx) at our institution were reviewed retrospectively. Multiple variables were evaluated as predictors for the diagnosis of prostate cancer (PCa). The variables included serum PSA level, digital rectal examination, size of region of interest on mpMRI, prostate volume and PSA density. PCa was positive in 162 (67.2%). Prostate volume and PSA density were significant PCa predictors (P<0.001). In patients aged 70-75 and >75 years, PSA density was significantly higher in patients with PCa (0.21 ng/ml/cc, P=0.014 and 0.24 ng/ml/cc, P<0.001, respectively). Similarly, PSA density was significantly higher in patients with significant PCa (0.24 ng/ml/cc, P=0.004 and 0.29 ng/ml/cc, P=0.001, respectively). The cut-off value of PSA density was calculated using receiver operating characteristic curves. Area under curve of PSA density was 0.698, and the best cut-off value was 0.20 ng/ml/cc. These results indicate that the combination of PSA density with mpMRI before PBx is a helpful method and can be a decision-making model for a selection of PBx.

Introduction

Older men usually have a shorter life expectancy, a higher risk of competing causes of mortality, and a greater risk of potential harm from screening for prostate cancer (PCa) (1). It is evident that there is an increasing proportion of individuals aged 70 years and older, as well as an increasing life expectancy worldwide (2). The life expectancy of men aged 70 years old in America is estimated to be 14 years compared with 15 years in Japan (3). The American Urological Association does not recommend routine prostate-specific antigen (PSA) screening in men >70 years old or any man with less than a 10-15 year life expectancy (4). In Japan, however, there is no clear consensus among urologists on how to manage older men aged 70 years and older with elevated PSA.

The classical risk factors for PCa include elevating PSA and positive digital rectal examination (DRE). The current standard of care practice for a diagnosis of PCa is a needle biopsy of the prostate (PBx) (5). However, a number of criticisms have been directed against PSA-based screening for PCa, which lead to overdiagnosis and overtreatment in certain cases (6). Recent screening guidelines do not recommend PSA screening alone, especially in older men (7). The risks of PBx include pain, urinary retention, hematuria, hemospermia, and, most significantly, urinary tract infection (UTI). The reported incidence for UTIs is 2-6%, and for severe sepsis is 0.2-2% (8). Therefore, other approaches may be necessary to prevent unnecessary biopsies, especially for older men.

Prediction methods were developed to reduce unnecessary biopsies, to avoid false-negative biopsies while still detecting most clinically important PCa cases before biopsy (9). However, a number of criticisms have been directed against PSA-based screening for PCa, which lead to overdiagnosis and overtreatment in certain cases (6). Recent screening guidelines do not recommend PSA screening alone, especially in older men (7). The risks of PBx include pain, urinary retention, hematuria, hemospermia, and, most significantly, urinary tract infection (UTI). The reported incidence for UTIs is 2-6%, and for severe sepsis is 0.2-2% (8). Therefore, other approaches may be necessary to prevent unnecessary biopsies, especially for older men.

Prediction methods were developed to reduce unnecessary biopsies, to avoid false-negative biopsies while still detecting most clinically important PCa cases before biopsy (9). These are more accurate than PSA screening alone. An ideal predictive tool would be a reliable imaging method that excludes inter-observer variability such as in DRE and transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) prostate images. Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging (mpMRI) is now widely used in diagnosis, staging after diagnosis, and treatment planning of PCa (10-12). We reported previously that MRI positivity can independently predict biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy (13). Recently, the European Society of Urogenital Radiology...
ESUR proposed the Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (PI-RADS) to detect PCa (14). In addition to imaging, we reported that PSA density is also useful for distinguishing benign prostatic hypertrophy and PCa (13,15).

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the role of PSA density after mpMRI before PBx, especially for older men, to avoid overscreening, overdiagnosis, and overtreatment.

Patients and methods

Study design. The medical records of 241 patients aged >70 years who underwent mpMRI before PBx at our institution between January 2012 and December 2016 were reviewed retrospectively in this study. Exclusion criteria was serum PSA level >20 ng/ml. Multiple variables were evaluated as predictors for the diagnosis of PCa. The variables and indications for biopsy included serum PSA level, DRE, size of region of interest (ROI) on mpMRI, prostate volume, and PSA density.

Imaging. At our institution, the mpMRI system is a 3.0-Tesla scanner (Signa Excite XI 1.5 and 3.0-Tesla, 32-channel torso-array coil). T2-weighted fast spin-echo imaging (T2WI, 3000/120, 4 NEX, 4 mm slice, 0 mm space), diffused-weighted imaging (DWI, single-shot diffusion echo planar imaging, b=0, 2,000 sec/mm² for whole pelvic, 0, 1,500 sec/mm² for prostate, 4000/69.5 (pelvis), 4000/58.3 (prostate), 1 min 24 sec, 3 min 24 sec), and Gadolinium-dynamic contrast enhanced MRI (DCE, liver imaging with Volume Acceleration-flexible (LAVA-Flex), 4/1.1/12°, 15 sec, 40, 60, and 180 sec after i.v.) were performed. MRI could identify ROIs, and MR images were interpreted by experienced radiologists in accordance with ESUR PI-RADS v2.0. The typical images of mpMRI were shown in Fig. 1. T2WI was used for prostate cancer detection, localization and imaging. T2WI alone was sensitive but not specific for PCa and should be improved using DCE and DWI MRI. DWI allowed apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps to be calculated. The ADC map depicted a cancer focus in the right peripheral zone as a hypointense are (arrow).

Table I. Characteristics of all patients who underwent MRI before needle biopsy of the prostate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Overall (N=241)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>76±4.3 (70-85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA, ng/ml</td>
<td>7.2±3.6 (4.0-15.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI ROI size, mm</td>
<td>10.0±7.1 (0.0-24.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate volume, cc</td>
<td>35.1±18.3 (18.5-80.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA density, ng/ml/cc</td>
<td>0.20±0.14 (0.08-0.51)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ROI, region of Interest; MRI, magnetic resonance imagine; CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation; PSA, prostate specific antigen.

Biopsy. All patients underwent TRUS-guided systematic biopsies of 12 cores that included lateral and mid lobar cores at the base, middle, and apex of each prostate lobes. All biopsy specimens were interpreted histopathologically by
experienced pathologists according to the recommendations of the International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP). Clinical significant PCa was defined as Gleason score ≥4+3=7. We compared the MRI findings with the pathological findings retrospectively. At least one positive area on biopsy matched with each MRI lesion suspicious for cancer and vice versa were defined as matched. The absence of areas with pathological reports matching each MRI lesion was defined as unmatched.

**Data analysis.** Prostate volume in this study was measured in axial and sagittal images (height x width x depth) using both TRUS and MRI. PSA density was calculated by dividing serum PSA levels by prostate volume. Prostate volumes in TRUS were calculated by each urologist. All prostate volumes in MRI were calculated by one author. The factors evaluated for PBx included age, serum PSA level, index tumor size of ROI on MRI, prostate volume, and PSA density.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analyses were performed using the R Statistical Language version 3.0.2 and the SPSS version 23.0 statistical software packages. Chi-squared test was used to test the distribution of categorical variables, and the Mann-Whitney U test was used for continuous variables. We calculated the best-fit receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and the corresponding area under the ROC curve (AUC) estimates and 95% confidence interval (CI), then calculated the cut-off value of the PSA density. Spearman’s rank correlation and linear regression were used to determine the relationship between TRUS based PSA density and MRI based PSA density. All reported P-values were two-sided with significance considered at P<0.05.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics.** The median age of patients was 76 (range, 70-85) years, median serum PSA level was 7.2 (95% confidence interval (CI), 4.0-15.5) ng/ml, median MRI ROI size was 10.0 (95% CI, 0.0-24.3) mm, median prostate volume was 35.1 (95% CI, 18.5-80.5) cc, and PSA density was 0.20 (95% CI, 0.08-0.51) ng/ml/cc (Table I). The correlation between TRUS-PSA density and MRI-PSA density was very strong (r=0.873) and significant (P<0.001). There was no inter-observer error in the measurement of PSA density using TRUS and MRI.

**Prostate cancer detection on mpMRI.** In total, 221 patients had suspicious lesions for cancer in mpMRI (Table II). The median PSA density of these patients was 0.21 ng/ml/cc. Conversely, 20 patients did not have suspicious lesions for cancer in mpMRI, and their median PSA density was 0.16 ng/ml/cc, which was significantly lower (P=0.023).

**Prostate cancer detection on biopsy.** PCa was detected on biopsy in 163 patients (Table III). The median PSA density of these patients was 0.23 ng/ml/cc. Conversely, 78 patients showed no evidence of malignancy on biopsy, and their median PSA density was 0.16 ng/ml/cc, which was significantly lower (P<0.001).

**Usefulness of PSA density.** The ROC curve analysis for predicting PCa using mpMRI showed that the maximum AUC values of PSA, prostate volume, and PSA density were 0.507, 0.280, and 0.698, respectively (Fig. 2). These results indicated that PSA density could be a useful parameter to predict PCa. The PSA density of the maximum AUC was 0.20 ng/ml/cc. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value of PSA density threshold of 0.20 ng/ml/cc were 63, 71, 82, and 47%, respectively.

**Subgroup analyses.** Patients with or without significant PCa did not differ significantly in their serum PSA level (P=0.089). Prostate volume of patients with significant PCa was significantly smaller (P<0.001). Calculated PSA density was significantly higher in patients with significant PCa with a median of 0.27 ng/ml/cc vs. 0.18 ng/ml/cc (P<0.001). The median PSA density of patients with and without significant PCa at the age of 70 to 75 years differed significantly, at 0.24 and 0.17 ng/ml/cc, respectively (P=0.004). The median PSA density of patients with and without significant PCa over the age of 75 years also differed significantly, at 0.29 and 0.20 ng/ml/cc (P<0.001, Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

Although mpMRI is increasingly used for PCa diagnosis, there is no consensus whether or not older men need a biopsy and how follow-up should be managed. In the present study, mpMRI combined with PSA density before biopsy for older men was useful for the selection of prostate biopsy. Until recently, previous reports have described the use of MRI before biopsy combined with PSA density (16,17); however, no reports have focused on age. Kosaka et al reported that PSA density was a very effective predictor of clinically significant PCa in men aged 50 years and younger (18). Our results indicated that PSA density could also help predict the presence of PCa and significant PCa in men aged 70 years and older.

Compared with younger patients, older patients were more likely to present with advanced diseases and had a greater risk of death from PCa. However, older men have a shorter life expectancy and a higher risk of competing causes of mortality (19). In our study, 20 patients did not have suspicious lesions for cancer in mpMRI but underwent PBx. No significant PCa was detected in these 20 patients after PBx. Men without suspicious lesions for cancer in mpMRI could have avoided a biopsy. Men with lower PSA density have a lower risk of significant cancer, and these men could have avoided a biopsy. The cut-off value of PSA density was previously reported as 0.15 or 0.20 ng/ml/cc (16,20,21), which was the PSA density of the maximum AUC values in our study.

Our retrospective study has several limitations. First, all elderly patients in the present study underwent PBx after MRI. Patients without lesions suspicious for PCa on mpMRI had already been excluded. Older men with lesions suspicious for PCa on mpMRI, who were too sick to undergo PBx, were also excluded. Second, with marked advances in mpMRI, further prospective studies considering prognosis could be more precise in defining the role of mpMRI combined with PSA density.

Despite these limitation, there are advantages in the present study. First, we focused on older men aged 70 years and older. Older men have a shorter life expectancy and prognosis can...
be evaluated more easily than in younger men. An additional study considering patient prognosis will be carried out in the future. There is a possibility that cancer in smaller prostates was more easily detected, and larger glands could be associated with difficulty in detecting cancer. Discussion of data on the correlation between prostate size and pathological features of PCa will be examined in an upcoming study. Second, it has been controversial whether to perform MRI before biopsy for men of all ages because of cost-effectiveness. For older men with a shorter life expectancy, however, the cost savings from avoiding unnecessary biopsies will offset the cost of MRI.
In conclusion, PSA density combined with mpMRI before PBx performed significantly better compared with mpMRI or PSA density alone. The combination is a helpful method and can be a decision-making model for the selection of PBx.
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